

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Citizenship and Immigration Services

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
CIS, MAO, 20 Mass, 3/F
425 Eye Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20536

OCT 20 2003

FILE: LIN 02 275 52375 Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED


INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.



Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The director's decision will be withdrawn and the matter will be remanded to the director for further consideration.

The petitioner is an institute of therapeutic massage. It seeks to employ the beneficiaries as student massage therapist trainees. The director found that the petitioner had not established that an actual training program existed. In addition, the director stated that the beneficiaries would be involved in productive labor and that the petitioner did not establish that the training is unavailable in the beneficiaries' home country.

On appeal, the petitioner states that there is an established training program, and submits copies of the training materials in support of this assertion. The petitioner also submits information to establish that similar training is unavailable in the beneficiaries' home country. The petitioner also states that the practical training required of the beneficiaries is only that which is incidental to the training and does not displace citizen or resident workers.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(iii), provides classification for an alien having a residence in a foreign country, which he or she has no intention of abandoning, who is coming temporarily to the United States as a trainee, other than to receive graduate medical education or training, in a training program that is not designed primarily to provide productive employment.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(7) states, in pertinent part:

(ii) Evidence required for petition involving alien trainee--(A) Conditions. The petitioner is required to demonstrate that:

(1) The proposed training is not available in the alien's own country;

(2) The beneficiary will not be placed in a position which is in the normal operation of the business and in which citizens and resident workers are regularly employed;

(3) The beneficiary will not engage in productive employment unless such employment is incidental and necessary to the training; and

(4) The training will benefit the beneficiary in pursuing a career outside the United States.

(B) Description of training program. Each petition for a trainee must include a statement which:

(1) Describes the type of training and supervision to be given, and the structure of the training program;

(2) Sets forth the proportion of time that will be devoted to productive employment;

(3) Shows the number of hours that will be spent, respectively, in classroom instruction and in on-the-job training;

(4) Describes the career abroad for which the training will prepare the alien;

(5) Indicates the reasons why such training cannot be obtained in the alien's country and why it is necessary for the alien to be trained in the United States; and

(6) Indicates the source of any remuneration received by the trainee and any benefit, which will accrue to the petitioner for providing the training.

(iii) Restrictions on training program for alien trainee. A training program may not be approved which:

(A) Deals in generalities with no fixed schedule, objectives, or means of evaluation;

(B) Is incompatible with the nature of the petitioner's business or enterprise;

(C) Is on behalf of a beneficiary who already possesses substantial training and expertise in the proposed field of training;

(D) Is in a field in which it is unlikely that the knowledge or skill will be used outside the United States;

(E) Will result in productive employment beyond that which is incidental and necessary to the training;

(F) Is designed to recruit and train aliens for the ultimate staffing of domestic operations in the United States;

(G) Does not establish that the petitioner has the physical plant and sufficiently trained manpower to provide the training specified; or

(H) Is designed to extend the total allowable period of practical training previously authorized a nonimmigrant student.

The Administrative Appeals Office notes that the director did not issue to the petitioner a request for evidence pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(8) prior to denying the petition. The purpose of the request for evidence is to elicit further information that clarifies whether eligibility for the benefit sought has been established. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(8). The evidence submitted on appeal should have been requested by the director prior to adjudicating the petition. As this did not occur, the director's decision must be withdrawn.

Beyond the decision of the director, the director has not addressed whether the petitioner is a vocational school; if so, the beneficiaries are not eligible for H-3 classification. The regulations state, "An H-3 classification applies to an alien who is coming temporarily to the United States: (1) As a trainee, other than to receive graduate medical education or training, or *training provided primarily at or by an academic or vocational institution.*" 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(1)(ii)(E)(1) (Emphasis added).

The director must afford the petitioner reasonable time to provide evidence pertinent to the issues of: (1) the amount of time, if any, that the beneficiaries would devote to productive labor; (2) the availability of the training program in the beneficiaries' home country; and (3) whether the petitioner is a vocational school. The director shall then render a new decision based on the evidence of record as it relates to the regulatory requirements for eligibility. As always, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

ORDER: The matter is remanded to the director for further action and entry of a new decision in accordance with the above

discussion, which if adverse to the petitioner, is to be certified to the Administrative Appeals Office for review.